From: Frank Pugh <rccc.fpugh@aol.com>
To: bunnellkr <bunnellkr@muni.org>

Cc: davidrsterling <a vidasterling@gmail.com>; wikaworld <wikaworld@gmail.com>; millertreehouse <millertreehouse@gci.net>; lawrence.keil <lawrence.keil@earthlink.net>; paulandsusanne

<paulandsusanne@gmail.com>; jdusel <jdusel@awr-eng.com>; ky <ky@kyholland.com>; bert.lewis5

<bert.lewis5@gmail.com>; nancypease2 <nancypease2@gmail.com>; rccc.fpugh <rccc.fpugh@aol.com>; inquiries

<inquiries@cmcavanaugh.com>; rabbitcreekcc <rabbitcreekcc@gmail.com>; rabbitccccommunications

<rabbitccccommunications@gmail.com>; mbbutler <mbbutler@gci.net>; info <info@communitycouncils.org>; kdurling

<kdurling@pesiak.com>; bvergason <bvergason@hotmail.com>

Subject: RCCC Comments regarding the MOA Historic Preservation Plan

Date: Thu, May 24, 2018 11:25 am

Attachments: 2018-05-24, RCCC Correspondence - MOA Historic Preservation Plan (signed).pdf (846K)

Dear Kristine:

We appreciate having Monty Rogers take the time to present the MOA Historic Preservation Plan and taking member questions at the Rabbit Creek Community Council (RCCC) meeting on May 10th, 2018. After the presentation, a majority of the voting members in attendance approved (20 Yeas / 0 Nays) to submit the attached letter with recommended changes.

Please contact us with any questions.

Best regards,

Frank Pugh RCCC Chair rccc.fpugh@aol.com

Correspondence



RABBIT CREEK COMMUNITY COUNCIL (RCCC)



A Forum for Respectful Communication & Community Relations

PO Box 110618 / Anchorage, AK 99511

May 24, 2018

Anchorage Historic Preservation Commission, c/o Kristine Bunnell, bunnellkr@muni.org

Proposed changes to the Draft MOA Historic Preservation Plan RCCC meeting May 10, 2018

Dear Commissioners:

On May 10, 2018 at the Rabbit Creek Community Council General Membership Meeting, a majority of the voting members in attendance agreed (20 Yeas / 0 Nays) to submit the following comments:

Section titled any specific policies/implementation items, last page of draft plan

1. Delete the bulleted item that says: Encourage increased development and density in the lower Hillside, especially in the "BLM Lots", near existing infrastructure (HDP).

Insert the adopted HDP Policy 1-A:

Encourage a greater proportion of future Hillside growth to occur in the lower Hillside, in areas located closer to existing services and infrastructure.

Reasons:

- 1. The HDP does not call for increased density.
- To the contrary, Policy 1-B says "Maintain policies for the amount of development as allowed under current land use designations." P. 2-9
- 2. The HDP text specifically concludes against increased density of the BLM lots. Page 2-14: "The plan concludes that these large lots are a stable and fundamental feature of the character of this area, such that no increase in residential intensity is warranted."

2. Revise the bulleted item regarding drainage and streams by adding intent to protect water supply: "Protect drainage corridors streams and aquifers to protect the water supply and natural hydrology and to provide open space for recreation and views (HDP)".

Reasons:

- 1. Hillside goal and policy summary p 3-5. "Retention and responsible use of natural drainage systems, of streams, wetlands, recharge areas and other natural water systems, also helps protect water quality and habitat and reduce flood risks."
- 2. Goal 8, drainage management: Protect existing stream and wetland functions by maintaining the natural quantity, quality, and periodicity of recharge to natural waterbodies and wetlands." P 3-7
- 3. Delete the bulleted item that says: <u>Extend municipal road service</u>. This could be interpreted to advocate extension of ARDSA. The intent of the HDP is to establish a Hillside roads, trails, and drainage district.

Please let us know if there are any questions or clarifications required.

Sincerely,

Frank Pugh, Chair RCCC.fpugh@aol.com

CC:

Bear Valley CC Chair: Kevin Durling, kdurling@pesiak.comar
Hillside CC President: Bruce Vergason, bvergason@hotmail.com