

**Federation of Community Councils
Board of Delegates Meeting**

Wednesday, November 19, 2025 | Hybrid
Draft Minutes

Zoom meeting being recorded, including the “Chat”. There is no expectation of privacy.

FCC Delegates in attendance (25)

Abbott Loop - Lizzie Newell	Mountain View – Charlie Welch
Airport Heights – Emily Weiser	North Star – Not Present
Basher – Donald Crafts	Northeast – Pamela Raygor
Bayshore/Klatt – Bob Laule	Old Seward/Oceanview – Gary Meaders
Bear Valley – Kaitlyn Jackson	Portage Valley – Not Present
Birchwood – Not Present	Rabbit Creek – Tim Alderson
Campbell Park – Kevin Fimon	Rogers Park – Not Present
Chugiak – Not Present	Russian Jack – Kathleen Plunkett
Downtown – Not Present	Sand Lake – Kari Reese
Eagle River – Cliff Cook	Scenic Foothills – Roger Shaw
Eagle River Valley – Joy Webb	South Addition – John Thurber
Eklutna Valley – Not Present	South Fork – Not Present
Fairview – Kelly Ittenbach	Spenard – Sarah Preskitt
Girdwood (GBOS) – Jennifer Wingard	Taku-Campbell – Sarah Alexander
Glen Alps – Not Present	Tudor – Not Present
Government Hill – Not Present	Turnagain – Cathy Gleason
Hillside – Not Present	Turnagain Arm – Michael Packard
Huffman/O’Malley – Jason Norris	University Area – Steven Callaghan
Midtown – Rosemary Borchardt	

Others in attendance:

Arianna Bellizzi, FCC Executive Director
Ali Rambo, FCC Office Administrator
Irene Quednow, SLCC Alternate
Roger Biley, Member of the public
Boyd McFail, Member of the public
Amanda Thompson, Member of the public
Claire Steffans, Member of the public
Evelyn Dutton, Member of the public
Warren Rowe, Member of the public
Donovan Camp, Member of the public

PART I – THE BOARD MEETING

Call to Order and Establish Quorum: Sarah Preskitt, Chair Pro Tempore
The meeting was called to order at 6:05 p.m.

I. Introduction of Parliamentarian – Lem Wheelles

- a. Sarah Preskitt introduced the Parliamentarian Lem Wheelles, whom she had invited to help the group with Roberts Rules on an advisory, consultative basis. She gave a brief biography of Lem’s interest and background as a Parliamentarian.
 - i. There was a question inquiring what authority was used to invite the Parliamentarian, Sarah explained with her authority as the Chair Pro Tempore she invited Lem to assist her with Roberts Rules as she isn’t used to chairing the meetings being Vice Chair in the interest of allowing the meeting to run as orderly as possible. There is some cross discussion around this topic.
 - Tim Alderson interrupted and is not acknowledged by the chair with a point of order stating the decision was the chair prerogative and imploring that the meeting be spent on more productive matters.
 - ii. Sarah Prekitt asked that the body wait to be individually recognized by the chair to keep the meeting moving efficiently.

II. Consent Agenda

a. Approval of Agenda

- i. Motion to approve agenda made by Cathy Gleason, seconded by Roger Shaw, motion passed unanimously via assent.

b. Approval of Minutes

- i. Sarah noted that the minutes for approval were for the October Delegates meeting, and the October Special meeting. The November Special Meeting Minutes will be presented for approval at the December meeting.
- ii. Motion to approve minutes by assent made by Pamela Raygor seconded by Kevin Fimon, motion passed unanimously via assent.

III. Decision Topic:

a. Mailing Notice

- i. Arianna through the chair recounted the March meeting vote that occurred regarding the mailing funds and referenced the motion made to allocate the total sum to sending out notices upon Community Council Boundary Changes. She informed the group that with how long the change has taken mailers wouldn’t be able to be sent in time in accordance with the motion, it would help staff if a new motion was made to determine how the funds would be used.
- ii. A motion to approve the budget allocated for the mailing to be rolled over to 2026, a request made through the (Municipal) Clerk and approved by the (Municipal) Clerk made by Charlie Welch, seconded by Pamela Raygor.
 - There was a clarifying question inquiring if the funds will continue to be used for their intended purpose, Arianna confirmed that would be the case. There was cross discussion about timing on the boundary change, along with inquiries about if the amount would be enough to cover mailing all affect by the change.
 - The motion was put to a vote by assent and passed unanimously.

IV. Discussion Topic: Bylaw Update

- a. Sarah Preskitt passed the gavel to Charlie Welch to allow her to give a bylaws update without conflict of interest. There were no objections.
- b. Sarah gave a brief timeline of the draft bylaws process from September to present day. She informed the group that she is compiling all of her notes from the feedback from the last two special meetings to incorporate into the next packet for the next Bylaws Committee meeting. Sarah went on, outlining the tentative plan around drafting the next draft version of the bylaws using all the feedback received, and reminded the body that the draft bylaws won't be put in place until a 2/3rd majority vote had been made.
 - i. There was a question about whether the Bylaw Committee was considering attending Community Council meetings to give presentations about the changes. Sarah explained that at this time no, but it could be discussed at the next Bylaws Committee meeting.
- c. There was a motion made that the Bylaws Committee be open to additional members at this point by Kari Reese, seconded by Pamela Raygor.
 - i. There is cross discussion surrounding those who are currently on the Bylaws Committee, along with the work on the draft bylaws with members who chimed in to express their views around opening the Bylaws Committee to new members.
 - ii. Pamela Raygor made a point of information that meetings have been open and notified throughout the process and would continue to be as such to her knowledge.
 - iii. There was cross discussion surrounding the notice process, and members continued to express their views surrounding the idea of re-opening the Bylaws Committee.
 - iv. John Thurber made a point of order for the motion on the floor to be repeated. Kari Reese the motion maker, restated the motion to the body. The body continued to discuss the motion, with several members attempting to call point of order without acknowledgement from the chair.
 - v. Charlie Welch as temporary chair referred to Lem Wheelles, who advised the group on where in the process the body currently was and determined that it was time to put the motion to a vote.
 - vi. The motion that the Bylaws Committee be open to additional members was put to a vote, the motion failed with:
 - 11 yes
 - 1 abstain
 - 13 no
 - vii. There was an inquiry about the which delegates currently served as members of the Bylaws committee
 - With this new information, Kari asked whether a remotion is possible based on the new information. Lem Wheelles (Parliamentarian) by request of the chair informed the group that a remotion is possible by those who voted on the winning side if they have reconsidered, once recognized by the chair could make a motion to reconsider. No motion was made.
 - viii. There was discussion around an email that has been circulated to Community Councils regarding the potential Bylaws change, Sarah Preskitt gave the group some clarity regarding the inaccuracies around certain statements being made.

- There was cross discussion about different visuals, or materials that could be produced to help explain the process.
- ix. Jason Norris made a motion to move to the next agenda; there was no second.
- x. Charlie Welch passed the gavel back to Sarah Preskitt.

V. Discussion Topic: Delegate Qualifications

- a. Kaitlyn Jackson gave a brief explanation around the discussion topic regarding language used to determine who may serve as a delegate within the current FCC Bylaws. Arianna read the direct language aloud for the body's reference.
- b. There was a motion made by Kaitlyn Jackson to define committees to exclude LRSA and others to that term, seconded by Pamela Raygor.
 - i. Pamela Raygor made a point of information and noted that she believes somewhere in code delineates what those positions are. Arianna took a moment to speak about the history of the organization and how it has operated in the past surrounding this topic.
 - ii. There was a point of information made by Jason Norris inquiring if this was a change to the standing bylaws and whether it required a 2/3rd majority vote. Lem Wheelles (Parliamentarian) noted it would be a change to the Bylaws and that the Bylaws require 30-day notice, meaning it would not be possible in this meeting.
 - iii. Roger Shaw asked about the process of withdrawing a motion, Lem informed the body of the typical process.
 - Kaitlyn asked the body permission to rescind the motion previously made; with no objection the motion was withdrawn.
- c. Sarah Preskitt acknowledged there was no longer a motion on the floor and Arianna requests for staff records for someone to make a motion regarding GBOS members / LRSA members and others of that nature to allow members to continue serving without conflict.
 - i. There was a question of whether it's possible to just continue past practices through the power of the chair, Sarah defers to the Parliamentarian. Lem clarifies that the chair does not have that power.
- d. A motion was made to continue with current bylaws as written and abide by them by Kari Reese, seconded by Cathy Gleason.
 - i. There is a question about what the Municipal Ombudsman had said regarding this topic, Arianna pulled the approved October minutes up and referenced them for the body. There is some discussion surrounding the current bylaws needing clarity regarding the language used, and who would be affected within the Board of Delegates by the vote.
 - ii. Pamela Raygor called the question, seconded by Charlie Welch.
 - A point of information was made by Emily Weiser, who acknowledged that the current motion was ambiguous, and expressed her concern regarding this. There was cross discussion surrounding this topic amongst the body.
- e. Jason Norris made a motion to table, and Sarah Preskitt refers to Lem Wheelles on if the Motion to table supersedes the motion to abide by the current Bylaws. Jason expressed his concern that the motion was not fully ready. Pamela Raygor seconded the motion made to table.
 - i. There was a point of information made by Tim Alderson inquiring if there was discussion allowed surrounding the topic of tabling, with the Chair

responding that there is no discussion around the motion when tabling.

ii. The motion to table the previous motion regarding abiding by the bylaws as they are currently written was put to a vote and passed with:

- 20 yes
- 2 abstain
- 3 no

VI. Move to second agenda

PART II – THE COALITION MEETING

Meeting Opener: Sarah Preskitt, Chair Pro Tempore

- I. Education Topic: Council Updates – Rabbit Creek thru University Area**
 - a. Rabbit Creek –**
 - i. Next meeting will be talking about the Potter Marsh Watershed Park Master Planning Process, has continued to follow TSDO closely and has provided feedback to the assembly regarding the Short-Term Rentals / Short-Term Rental Tax.
 - b. Russian Jack –**
 - i. Recently had APD chief at their meeting and had an excellent conversation, also recently finished their CIP list for 2026, and had the Native Samoan Corporation present. RJCC also recently put forth a Resolution regarding APD Tech Upgrade approval. They will be having Elections in December.
 - c. Sand Lake –**
 - i. Recently put together a TSDO committee, as well as a homelessness committee, the airport director is coming to speak with the Community Council in regard to the water / septic issues.
 - d. Scenic Foothills –**
 - i. They have been watching the Community Council Boundary change closely and looking forward to whatever changes might occur.
 - e. South Addition –**
 - i. Continues to invite guests to their meetings to have conversations about housing development in Anchorage. Recently they had a presenter from Anchorage Community Development Authority and will be having an upcoming presentation at their next meeting regarding Alaskan Nordic Neighborhoods.
 - f. Spenard –**
 - i. Spenard is working on pulling together cleanup in conjunction with Parks & Recreation / hopefully DOT, recently had an APD Lieutenant out for meetings after the APD Chief of Police had presented to answer questions, along with some Resolutions work within the CC.
 - g. Taku / Campbell –**
 - i. Chief Case recently visited, and it was very informative in regard to homelessness and struggles within APD.
 - h. Turnagain –**
 - i. Turnagain has been following the Community Council Boundary change with plans to testify at the upcoming Assembly meeting, they're also participating in the airport noise study, Fish Creek Trail is still an ongoing project for Turnagain, they have also submitted comments regarding Heritage Land Bank 2026 and 10 yr plan, along with working with students renovating the coastal trail / Fish Creek estuary.
 - i. Turnagain Arm –**
 - i. Safer Seward Highway was the biggest issue in the last quarter, and they have been in talks with designers to change some things to help community out a bit more, along with talks about the wildland urban interface fire protection.
 - j. University Area –**

- i. Noted University Area will lose a portion / gain a portion of its boundary in the upcoming change and how that would affect Community Council business.

k. Fairview –

- i. Reminded everyone that November 20th was the last day to submit comments in regard to the Seward to Glenn Connection managed by DOT.

II. Education Topic – Representative Reports

a. Mayor’s Office – Not Present

b. School Board – Not Present

c. Planning Department – Paul Hatcher

- i. Paul provided updates on the Community Council Boundary change with a public Hearing happening on December 2nd, he also reported on dimensional setbacks and noted he didn’t have an official timeline regarding TSDO.

III. Comment Period:

- a. Sarah Preskitt informed the room that delegates have the first chance to comment, and any time left would be available to the public for comment.
 - i. Cathy Gleason reminded the group about a conversation she’d like to have in the future regarding an idea surrounding dedicated parks in each CC boundary.
 - ii. Roger Shaw appreciated the use of the Parliamentarian for the evening.
 - iii. Kevin Fimon noted the vagueness of current bylaws and recommends the idea of legal counsel to help figure out how to solve the vagueness issue.
 - iv. Michael Packard thanked the Bylaws Committee for taking on the Bylaws change and what has come with it.
 - v. Rosemary Borchartd inquires if the Ombudsman would be the right person to bring the bylaws to.
 - Kevin reminded the room that the only reason the Ombudsman isn’t the perfect person is due to the fact he is on the Bylaws Committee.
 - vi. Steve Callaghan spoke to the discussion around school closures at the University Area CC meeting.
 - vii. Pamela Raygor thanked Kari Reese for putting her name on her handouts and asked if any handouts not in the FCC packet identify their authors, Pamela also spoke to the responsibility of delegates to their Community Councils and inquired about the graphic on one of the handouts.
 - viii. Kari Reese spoke to the graphic Pamela inquired about and explained it to the room as a visual aid representing how she sees the potential bylaw changes.
 - ix. Jennifer Wingard spoke about the GBOS delegate confusion, providing background and speaking about how it has been resolved for the time being, and noted there is still more discussion to be had surrounding the topic.
- b. After delegates had finished commenting, the public was invited to comment.
 - i. Roger Biley, member of the public, spoke to his concerns surrounding the Bylaws Change and the Girdwood Delegate concern. Roger echoed the idea of inviting legal counsel in to help with the clarity.
 - ii. Boyd McFail, member of the public, commented on the new and old Bylaws, he gave feedback on specific sections of the Bylaws, and

recommended some ideas become policy in lieu of being in the Bylaws.

- c. There was a motion made to extend the meeting by 5 minutes by Kari Reese, seconded by Kevin Fimon. The motion is put to a vote and passes.
 - i. Irene Quednow shared similar concerns as others, noting that the new Bylaws don't have alternates to cause her concern.
 - ii. Claire Steffans, member of the public, spoke about her concerns around the Bylaws change, she noted that she had not been aware of the changes occurring.
 - iii. Evelyn Dutton, member of the public, also spoke to her concerns regarding the bylaws change, she acknowledged the challenges faced and expressed disappointment the Bylaws Committee vote failed.
- d. There is a motion made to extend the meeting by 2 minutes by Kevin Fimon, seconded by Kari Reese.
 - i. Warren Rowe, member of the public, spoke to his goal of bringing back Portage Community Council, citing the work he has done up to current day, and called for clarification on requirements to sit on as the table as a member. He expressed his concerns about getting bylaws to the assembly, noting that technical challenges had halted his progress.

IV. Adjourn: Meeting was adjourned at 8:07 pm.

Minutes respectfully submitted by Alison Rambo.

FCC Chair

Date

FCC Secretary

Date