

Rabbit Creek Community Council <rabbitcreekcc@gmail.com>

Re: ***CORRECTED Attachments*** RCCC Correspondence & Resolution - Seward Rumble Strips

1 message

Frank Pugh <rccc.fpugh@aol.com>

Tue, Mar 27, 2018 at 9:07 AM

To: nancypease2@gmail.com

Cc: davidrsterling@gmail.com, wikaworld@gmail.com, millertreehouse@gci.net, lawrence.keil@earthlink.net, paulandsusanne@gmail.com, jdusel@awr-eng.com, ky@kyholland.com, bert.lewis5@gmail.com, inquiries@cmcavanaugh.com, rabbitcreekcc@gmail.com, rabbitccccommunications@gmail.com, elsajess@gmail.com, jvrg@acsalaska.net, rccc.fpugh@aol.com

Actually, Jess requested the Resolution format and he put his original letter into that format. There is no problem with a General Member drafting a letter to an issue that they brought to the CC meeting.

Both are attached, I have no issue with submitting any of the letters but I will not until I get 6-Yes Board votes which mainly needs a reply from the Board Members, I'm sure. By example, I served on the Goldenview Middle School PTA Board for 2-years and we commonly voted on issues such as this, efficiently without problems. At a minimum, people serving on the Board should check their email on occasion and respond for simple issues such as confirming submission of correspondence, in this case.

Best regards,

Frank Pugh RCCC Chair rccc.fpugh@aol.com

----Original Message-----

From: Nancy Pease <nancypease2@gmail.com>

To: Frank Pugh <rccc.fpugh@aol.com>

Cc: davidrsterling davidrsterling@gmail.com; millertreehouse

<millertreehouse@gci.net>; lawrence.keil <lawrence.keil@earthlink.net>; paulandsusanne

<paulandsusanne@gmail.com>; jdusel <jdusel@awr-eng.com>; ky <ky@kyholland.com>; bert.lewis5

<bert.lewis5@gmail.com>; inquiries <inquiries@cmcavanaugh.com>; rabbitcreekcc <rabbitcreekcc@gmail.com>; rabbitccccommunications <rabbitccccommunications@gmail.com>; elsajess <elsajess@gmail.com>; jvrg

<ivrg@acsalaska.net>

Sent: Tue, Mar 27, 2018 8:04 am

Subject: Re: ***CORRECTED Attachments*** RCCC Correspondence & Resolution - Seward Rumble Strips

Hello, Frank,

The resolution format is hard to understand. I'm not sure it reflects what Jess wrote and the General Membership approved. Can you e-mail us the letter that Jess read from? I suggest that the Correspondence committee just put his letter onto letterhead and add the usual salutations and vote tally.

Questions:

Where is mile 114 to 115, where the letter says to maintain existing rumble strips?

Why use the whereas form? It is not clear, common English, and I think we should be as clear in our communications as possible.

Finally, Ky made good points. The Board is doing a review of the technicalities and clarity of the correspondence: we are not supposed to change the intent or specific points that the General Membership approved.

Sent from my iPad

On Mar 25, 2018, at 11:14 AM, Frank Pugh rccc.fpugh@aol.com wrote:

In the previous email, I accidentally attached the Resolution file twice. Thanks Jess for bringing this to my attention and a revised letter.

RCCC Board,

Please review the attached Letter & Resolution regarding the Seward Highway Rumble Strips and reply with your approval, disapproval, or requested changes. As soon as I receive 6-Board Member Approved responses, I will submit to Sean Baski at DOT.

Best regards,

Frank Pugh RCCC Chair rccc.fpugh@aol.com

<2018-03-08, RCCC Correspondence - Seward Rumble Strips, rev-2.pdf>

<2018-03-08, RCCC Resolution - Seward Rumble Strips, rev-1.pdf>

2 attachments



2018-03-08, RCCC Correspondence - Seward Rumble Strips, rev-1.pdf

