



Rabbit Creek Community Council <rabbitcreekcc@gmail.com>

Re: RCCC Correspondence regarding the HLB 5-Year Plan

1 message

Hezekiah Holland <kyrholland@gmail.com>

Sat, Mar 24, 2018 at 12:04 PM

To: WikaWorld <wikaworld@gmail.com>

Cc: Frank Pugh <rccc.fpugh@aol.com>, davidrsterling@gmail.com, DENNIS MILLER <millertreehouse@gci.net>, lawrence.keil@earthlink.net, paulandsusanne@gmail.com, RCCC-Janie Dusel <jdusel@awr-eng.com>, Ky Holland <ky@kyholland.com>, bert.lewis5@gmail.com, Nancy Pease <nancypease2@gmail.com>, inquiries@cmcavanaugh.com, Rabbit Creek Community Council <rabbitcreekcc@gmail.com>, rabbitccccommunications@gmail.com, agrappoport@gmail.com

Greg

The intent of the motion Frank is following up on is to preserve the language originally approved. If you feel frank has incorrectly incorporated language that was approved it should be fixed, but if this is reopening the original debate, this isn't the process/time and email won't be a good way to do that.

As far as I can tell, Franks letter is correct and I've send him my approval.

I also agree with language. We are not telling anyone what to do, but giving them input on how to develop their long term plan and this is not a private ownership or personal property rights issue. Used well it will allow Hlb to be in a better position to support or cooperate w surrounding landowners to support or ameliorate their plans. This is good for personal property rights. This is a quasi public land holding and it has a different set of community values to consider.

The 7 parcel grouping is getting into wordsmithing that does not appear to change the impact of the recommendation in a material way to justify reopening debate on the original motion/language.

Ky

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 24, 2018, at 11:46 AM, WikaWorld <wikaworld@gmail.com> wrote:

Hello RCCC Board;

In response to the HLB letter, I disagree with grouping ALL Parcels (7) 2-128 through 2-136 under Watershed when only 3 of the 7 are classified Watershed. Needs to be re-written.

I disagree with the statement of delaying the Parcel 2-156 until we see what the surrounding development is known. We are not talking about surrounding parcels we are only discussing Parcel 2-156, and I believe it is out of the scope of this community counsel to tell an entity when they can sell or not sell their property.

Best regards, Greg

On Mar 24, 2018, at 11:24 AM, Frank Pugh <rccc.fpugh@aol.com> wrote:

RCCC Board,

Please review the attached revised Letter regarding the HLB 5-Year Plan and reply with your approval, disapproval, or requested changes. As soon as I receive 6-Board Member Approved responses, I will submit to HLB.

5/12/2018

Gmail - Re: RCCC Correspondence regarding the HLB 5-Year Plan

Best regards,

Frank Pugh
RCCC Chair
rccc.fpugh@aol.com

<2018-03-08, RCCC Correspondence - HLB 5-Year Plan, rev-1.pdf>